

Louisiana Law Review

Volume 4 | Number 2

January 1942

Masthead

Repository Citation

Masthead, 4 La. L. Rev. (1942)

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.lsu.edu/lalrev/vol4/iss2/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at LSU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Louisiana Law Review by an authorized editor of LSU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact kayla.reed@law.lsu.edu.

LOUISIANA LAW REVIEW

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY, IN NOVEMBER, JANUARY, MARCH AND MAY

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL

UNIVERSITY STATION, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

Subscription per year \$4.00 (Foreign \$4.50)

Single copy \$1.00

VOLUME IV

JANUARY, 1942

NUMBER 2

STUDENT BOARD

A. B. RUBIN, *Editor-in-Chief*

RUSSELL B. LONG, *Associate Editor*

GILBERT D. LITTON, *Associate Editor*

R. O. RUSH, *Junior Associate Editor*

GRENESE R. JACKSON

WM. F. M. MEADORS, JR.

MORRIS D. ROSENTHAL

EDWIN L. LAFARGUE

OLIVER P. SCHULINGKAMP

On Service with the United States Armed Forces

EARL A. MORGAN

HARVEY H. POSNER

HOWARD W. WRIGHT

FACULTY ADVISORY BOARD

WEX S. MALONE, *Faculty Editor*

ROBERT LEE TULLIS

JEFFERSON B. FORDHAM

PAUL M. HEBERT

IRA S. FLORY

DALE E. BENNETT

HENRY G. McMAHON

HARRIET S. DAGGETT

J. DENSON SMITH

JOSEPH DAINOW

BEVERLY DENBO WALKER, *Secretary*

Publication in the REVIEW does not imply agreement with the views expressed in any of the contributions.

Unless otherwise indicated, the authors of COMMENTS and CASE NOTES are students of Louisiana State University Law School.

Comments

RUMINATIONS ON A NEW TORT:

Angelloz v. Humble Oil & Refining Company

The development of oil production has brought in its wake many novel torts problems. One of the most interesting and difficult of these was presented to the Louisiana Supreme Court in the recent case of *Angelloz v. Humble Oil & Refining Company*.¹ In order to initiate our discussion we need only a spare outline of the events that led up to the controversy; more facts will be added later as the need arises.

The defendant oil company held the mineral rights in all the property above a certain oil dome, with the exception of 950

1. 196 La. 604, 199 So. 656 (1940).