

Louisiana Law Review

Volume 16 | Number 2

The Work of the Louisiana Supreme Court for the

1954-1955 Term

February 1956

Masthead

Repository Citation

Masthead, 16 La. L. Rev. (1956)

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.lsu.edu/lalrev/vol16/iss2/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at LSU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Louisiana Law Review by an authorized editor of LSU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact kayla.reed@law.lsu.edu.

LOUISIANA LAW REVIEW

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL

BATON ROUGE 3, LOUISIANA

Subscription per year \$5.00 (Foreign \$5.50)

Single copy \$1.25

VOLUME XVI

FEBRUARY, 1956

NUMBER 2

STUDENT BOARD

CHARLES M. LANIER, *Editor-in-Chief*
PATRICK T. CAFFERY, *Associate Editor*
WILLIAM C. HOLLIER, *Associate Editor*
HUNTINGTON ODOM, *Associate Editor*
JOHN M. SHAW, *Associate-Editor*
JOHN S. WHITE, JR., *Associate Editor*

EDWIN L. BLEWEB, JR.	THOMAS D. HARDEMAN	JESSE D. McDONALD
ALBERT L. DIETZ, JR.	BILLY H. HINES	WILLIAM S. MOSS, JR.
WILLIAM J. DORAN, JR.	CHARLES W. HOWARD, JR.	JAMES F. PIERSON, JR.
JAMES M. DOZIER, JR.	JERRY G. JONES	HARRY R. SACHSE
DAVID M. ELLISON, JR.	ROBERT J. JONES	DANIEL J. SHEA

FACULTY ADVISORY BOARD

DALE E. BENNETT	MILTON M. HARRISON	ROBERT A. PASCAL
RICHARD V. CAMPBELL	PAUL M. HEBERT	GEORGE W. PUGH
HARRIET S. DAGGETT	HENRY G. McMAHON	CHARLES A. REYNARD
JOSEPH DAINOW	WEX S. MALONE	ALVIN B. RUBIN
MELVIN G. DAKIN		J. DENSON SMITH

LEON LEBOWITZ, *Faculty Editor*

BEVERLY D. WALKER, *Editorial Assistant*

COMMENTS

Proximate Cause In Louisiana

The term "proximate cause" has been given many and varied definitions in the jurisprudence. In one case the court has said that proximate cause is "that which immediately precedes and produces the effect, as distinguished from remote, mediate, or predisposing cause."¹ On another occasion, proximate cause was defined as "efficient cause — the cause that sets other acts in motion that produces the accident without an intervening and independent agency."² In another instance the court remarked that it was no defense "that the particular injurious consequence was unforeseen, improbable, and not to have been reasonably expected so long as it was the natural consequence of

1. *Cruze v. Harvey & Jones*, 134 So. 730, 731-32 (La. App. 1931).
2. *Allen v. Louisiana Creamery Inc.*, 184 So. 395, 397 (La. App. 1938).